

AELP Junk Fees Online Survey

Sample: Nationwide N = 600 base sample of likely voters

N = 100 oversample of PA likely voters N = 100 oversample of VA likely voters N = 100 oversample of MN likely voters

Splits: ACE ACF ADE ADF BCE BCF BDE BDF

	Weighted N=	TOTAL 600	DEM 242	IND /DK 111	REP 242
1.	What is your age? [TERMINATE IF UNDER 18]		40		

Under 30 16 30 - 39 16 40 - 49 14 50 - 64 27 65 & over 27	18 19 15 27 20	26 10 10 23 31	9 16 13 29 33
18-24 years8	10	12	3
25-29 years8	8	15	6
30-34 years8	10	6	7
35-39 years8	9	4	8
40-44 years6	7	2	7
45-49 years8	9	8	7
50-54 years8	7	9	9
55-59 years7	7	5	9
60-64 years12	13	10	11
65-69 years7	4	5	12
70-74 years8	6	11	8
Over 74 years12	10	15	13
(Don't know /Refused)0	0	0	0

2. Are you registered to vote or like many people are you not registered?

Yes, registered	100	100	100	100
No, not registered				
Not sure	[TERMINATE]			

3. How likely are you to vote in the November 2024 election for President – are you almost certain to vote, will you probably vote, are the chances about 50-50, are you probably not going to vote, or are you definitely not going to vote?

Almost certain	82	84	71	86
Probably	9	9	13	7
50-50	9	7	16	8
Probably not				
Definitely not	-			
Not sure				

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

4. Many people vote in elections for President and Congress but not in local elections for State House and State Senate offices. How likely are you to vote in your next election for State House and State Senate— are you almost certain to vote, will you probably vote, are the chances about 50-50, are you probably not going to vote, or are you definitely not going to vote?

Almost certain	66	67	51	71
Probably		19	23	15
50-50	12	10	22	11
Probably not	2	2	2	3
Definitely not	1	0	2	0
Not sure	1	2	0	0

5. Just to make sure we have a representative sample, please choose one or more of these racial or ethnic groups that you identify with. [ALLOW MULTIPLE RESPONSES, RANDOMIZE CHOICES]

White or Caucasian	68	60	62	77
Black or African American	12	18	15	5
Latino/Latina or Hispanic	12	16	10	10
Asian American or Pacific Islander	5	6	0	5
Native or Indigenous American	5	4	11	2
Middle Eastern	0	0	0	1
None of these/Other (SPECIFY:)	2	1	6	1

6. In which state do you live?

8.

www.LakeResearch.com

[INSERT DROPDOWN LIST OF STATES]

7. In which county do you reside?

[INSERT DROPDOWN LIST OF COUNTIES IN STATE]

Suburb near a city......40

Small town8

Rural area16

New England5	5	2	5		
Middle Atlantic13	16	12	10		
East North Central15	14	18	15		
West North Central7	7	5	8		
South Atlantic21	20	22	22		
East South Central6	3	6	8		
West South Central11	8	14	13		
Mountain7	5	12	7		
Pacific	21	10	12		
Northeast18	21	14	15		
Midwest22	22	22	23		
South38	31	42	43		
West22	26	21	18		
How would you describe the area in which you live?					
Large city21	28	10	20		

18

51

13

8

13

34

9

24

14

42

4

12

	Er dank rees erime earvey rebraary 2024					1 490 0
				IND		
		TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP	
	Maiabtad N					
	Weighted N=	600	242	111	242	
9.	What is the last year of schooling that you have	complete	ed?			
	Non-college grad	58	52	64	61	
	College grad	42	48	36	39	
	0 0					
	1 - 11th Grade	1	1	1	1	
	High school graduate		14	21	23	
	Vocational or technical school		3	4	4	
			_			
	Some college but no degree		23	25	21	
	Associate degree		11	14	12	
	4-year college graduate or bachelor's degree		30	27	26	
	Graduate school or advanced degree	14	19	9	12	
10	What is your gender? [SELECT ALL THAT API	PLY]				
	,	•				
	Man	47	40	47	53	
	Woman		59	53	47	
			_	_		
	Non-binary		1	0	0	
	Other	0	0	0	0	
	[IF Q11 = 1] Do you consider yourself a strong of [IF Q11=3] Would you say you lean more toward.					s the Democrats?
	Ctron v Domoont	04	5 0	0	0	
	Strong Democrat		53	0	0	
	Not-so-strong Democrat		27	0	0	
	Independent/lean Democrat	8	20	0	0	
	Democrat	40	100	0	0	
	Independent	16	0	85	0	
	Republican		0	0	100	
	1.05 42 1.04		ŭ	Ū	100	
	Independent/lean Republican	10	0	0	24	
	·		-	_		
	Not-so-strong Republican		0	0	28	
	Strong Republican	19	0	0	48	
	Other (SPECIFY:)	1	0	0	0	
	Not sure		0	15	0	
15	Have you ever been charged an additional man	datory fe	e for goo	ds and s	ervices?	
	Yes	11	47	38	44	
	No		29	26 26	27	
	Not sure	∠ŏ	24	36	29	

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

16. **[IF Q15=1]** Have you ever tried to dispute paying an additional mandatory fee for goods and services with the business that charged you?

	Weighted N=264	114	42	106
	Unweighted N=418	198	40	177
Yes	49	57	34	46
No	46	39	61	49
Not sure	5	4	5	5

17. Do you or does anyone in your family own a small business? [SELECT ALL THAT APPLY]

Yes, me11	12	8	13
Yes, family11	11	12	11
No78	78	79	76
Not sure1	0	2	1

18. Below is a list of some public figures and groups. For each, please indicate whether you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression. If you do not know enough about the item to have an opinion or have never heard of them, please indicate that. [RANDOMIZE]

Sorted by "Very favorable"

18f.Small businesses47	43	36	56
18b.Donald Trump21	4	11	44
18a.Joe Biden	41	2	2
18k.Hotels	21	12	15
18l.House-sharing companies like Airbnb and			
VRBO15	19	6	15
18o.Utilities companies15	18	10	14
18m.Cell phone companies15	18	9	14
18p.Food and grocery delivery companies like			
UberEATS and Instacart14	18	11	12
18h.Rideshare companies like Uber and Lyft13	16	11	12
18i.Rental car companies12	13	9	13
18g. Your state legislature11	15	3	10
18c.Federal Trade Commission (FTC)10	15	5	9
18d.National Federation of Independent			
Business (NFIB)10	13	6	9
18e.Hospitality Trade Association10	13	5	8
18j.Event ticketing companies like			
Ticketmaster9	8	9	11
18n.Cable companies8	10	6	8
A18q.Fine-print mandatory fees tacked onto			
the end of transactions for goods and			
services4	8	1	2
B18r.Mandatory junk fees tacked onto the end			
of transactions for goods and services4	3	4	5

				IND	
		TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
	Weighted N=	600	242	111	242
a.	Joe Biden				
	Very Favorable	1Ω	41	2	2
	Somewhat Favorable		37	24	7
	Somewhat Unfavorable		13	18	7
	Very Unfavorable		7	47	80
	No Opinion		2	7	3
	Never Heard		0	2	0
	THOUSE FIGURE		· ·	_	Ū
	Favorable	.40	78	26	9
	Unfavorable	_	20	65	87
b.	Donald Trump				
٠.	·	24	4	11	44
	Very FavorableSomewhat Favorable		4	22	34
	Somewhat Unfavorable	-	10	13	8
	Very Unfavorable		78	47	0 12
	No Opinion		4	7	3
	Never Heard		0	0	0
	NOVEL FIGURE	0	U	U	U
	Favorable	41	9	33	78
	Unfavorable		88	60	20
c.	Federal Trade Commission (FTC)				
٥.		10	1 =	E	0
	Very FavorableSomewhat Favorable		15 42	5 21	9 30
	Somewhat Unfavorable		12	22	30 25
	Very Unfavorable		3	7	8
	No Opinion		24	44	26
	Never Heard		4	2	3
	Novoi riodia	0	7	_	O
	Favorable	.43	57	25	38
	Unfavorable		15	29	33
		0	. •		
d.	National Federation of Independent Business	(NFIR)			
u.	•	. ,	10	c	0
	Very Favorable		13	6	9
	Somewhat FavorableSomewhat Unfavorable		24 5	18 7	29 8
	Very Unfavorable		ວ 1	1	8 4
	No Opinion		25	33	25
	Never Heard		25 32	35	25 25
	140 VOI FIGUIU		J <u>Z</u>	55	20
	Favorable	.35	36	24	37
	Unfavorable		6	8	13
					-

				IND	
	TC	OTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
		600	242	111	242
	eigea				
e.	Hospitality Trade Association				
	Very Favorable1	10	13	5	8
	Somewhat Favorable		32	27	35
	Somewhat Unfavorable		4	11	6
	Very Unfavorable		2	4	2
	No Opinion2		25	24	24
	Never Heard2		24	28	25
	Favorable	12	45	32	43
	Unfavorable	.8	6	15	8
f.	Small businesses				
	Very Favorable	17	43	36	56
	Somewhat Favorable		48	52	36
	Somewhat Unfavorable		2	2	3
	Very Unfavorable		1	1	0
	No Opinion		6	10	4
	Never Heard		0	0	0
	Favorable9	91	91	87	92
	Unfavorable	.3	2	3	4
g.	Your state legislature				
Ü	Very Favorable1	11	15	3	10
	Somewhat Favorable		37	30	39
	Somewhat Unfavorable2		20	39	24
	Very Unfavorable1		16	13	16
	No Opinion1		12	14	10
	Never Heard		1	1	1
	Favorable	17	52	33	49
	Unfavorable	11	36	52	40
h.	Rideshare companies like Uber and Lyft				
	Very Favorable1	13	16	11	12
	Somewhat Favorable		45	48	45
	Somewhat Unfavorable1		17	7	19
	Very Unfavorable	_	4	8	6
	No Opinion1		19	25	16
	Never Heard		1	1	2
	Favorable5		61	59	57
	Unfavorable2	21	20	16	25

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			IND	
		TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
	Weighted N=	600	242	111	242
i.	Rental car companies				
	Very Favorable	12	13	9	13
	Somewhat Favorable		43	41	49
	Somewhat Unfavorable		18	21	16
	Very Unfavorable		4	5	5
	No Opinion		22	24	15
	Never Heard	1	1	0	1
	Favorable	-	56	50	62
	Unfavorable	23	22	26	22
j.	Event ticketing companies like Ticketmaster				
	Very Favorable	9	8	9	11
	Somewhat Favorable		29	23	30
	Somewhat Unfavorable	25	26	27	23
	Very Unfavorable		22	11	18
	No Opinion		13	27	18
	Never Heard	1	2	3	0
	Favorable	20	38	32	41
	Unfavorable		36 48	38	41
	Offiavorable	40	40	30	71
k.	Hotels				
κ.		47	04	40	4.5
	Very FavorableSomewhat Favorable		21 58	12 62	15 67
	Somewhat Unfavorable		6	7	8
	Very Unfavorable		3	1	3
	No Opinion		12	17	6
	Never Heard		0	0	1
	Favorable	79	79	75	82
	Unfavorable	10	9	8	11
I.	House-sharing companies like Airbnb and VF	RBO			
	Very Favorable	15	19	6	15
	Somewhat Favorable		33	37	42
	Somewhat Unfavorable		18	15	17
	Very Unfavorable	9	8	14	7
	No Opinion		19	24	18
	Never Heard	2	2	4	3
	Coverable	F0	5 0	40	F.0
	FavorableUnfavorable		52 26	43 29	56 23
	UIIIavulaule	∠∪	20	4 3	23

				IND	
		TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
	Weighted N=	600	242	111	242
m.	Cell phone companies				
	Very Favorable		18	9	14
	Somewhat Favorable	52	47	49	60
	Somewhat Unfavorable		19	28	14
	Very Unfavorable		6	5	6
	No Opinion		10	8	5
	Never Heard	0	0	0	0
	Favorable	67	64	58	75
	Unfavorable		25	33	20
		0			
n.	Cable companies				
	Very Favorable	8	10	6	8
	Somewhat Favorable		39	30	39
	Somewhat Unfavorable		24	35	25
	Very Unfavorable		15	20	21
	No Opinion		12	9	7
	Never Heard	0	0	0	0
	Favorable	46	49	35	47
	Unfavorable	_	39	56	45
	Ciliavorable	10	00	00	.0
ο.	Utilities companies				
	Very Favorable	15	18	10	14
	Somewhat Favorable		38	36	40
	Somewhat Unfavorable		19	34	24
	Very Unfavorable	13	12	5	16
	No Opinion	10	12	15	6
	Never Heard	0	1	0	0
	Coverable	F2	EC	1E	ΕΛ
	Favorable Unfavorable		56 31	45 40	54 40
	Uniavorable	31	31	40	40
p.	Food and grocery delivery companies like Ul	herFATS	and Insta	cart	
ρ.	Very Favorable		18	11	12
	Somewhat Favorable		46	36	42
	Somewhat Unfavorable		12	22	18
	Very Unfavorable		5	6	6
	No Opinion		19	26	21
	Never Heard		0	0	1
	Favorable	- 7	0.4	40	- 4
	FavorableUnfavorable		64 17	46 28	54 24
	Ulliavulable	∠∠	17	20	24

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

q. [ASK LAST] SSA: Fine-print mandatory fees tacked onto the end of transactions for goods and services

Very Favorable	4	8	1	2
Somewhat Favorable	7	10	5	6
Somewhat Unfavorable	16	13	19	17
Very Unfavorable	55	49	55	61
No Opinion	12	16	13	8
Never Heard	5	4	7	5
Favorable	12	18	6	8
Unfavorable	71	62	74	78

r. [ASK LAST] SSB: Mandatory junk fees tacked onto the end of transactions for goods and services

Very Favorable4	3	4	5
Somewhat Favorable7	7	5	6
Somewhat Unfavorable14	14	4	18
Very Unfavorable62	64	64	59
No Opinion9	6	17	8
Never Heard5	6	4	4
Foverable 40	10	10	10
Favorable10	10	10	12
Unfavorable76	78	69	//

- 19. **SSE:** Would you **[ROTATE]** _support **OR** _oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?
- 20. SSE: [IF Q19 = 1,2] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?
- 21. SSE: [IF Q19 = 3] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly	65	65	59	68
Support - not so strongly		7	11	7
Lean support	6	6	11	4
Oppose - strongly	12	13	13	11
Oppose - not so strongly	3	2	1	5
Lean oppose	2	2	1	3
Undecided	3	5	4	1
Support	79	78	80	79
Oppose	18	17	15	19

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

- 22. SSF: Would you [ROTATE] _support OR _oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional hidden mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?
- 23. SSF: [IF Q22 = 1,2] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?
- 24. SSF: [IF Q22 = 3] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly	71	73	65	70
Support - not so strongly	7	8	9	6
Lean support		2	5	1
Oppose - strongly		10	2	14
Oppose - not so strongly		2	5	3
Lean oppose	3	4	3	2
Undecided	4	2	11	4
Support	80	82	79	77
Oppose		16	10	19

19/20/21/22/23/24.COMBINED INITIAL BALLOT

Support - strongly	68	69	62	69
Support - not so strongly	7	7	10	6
Lean support	4	4	8	3
Oppose - strongly	11	11	8	13
Oppose - not so strongly	3	2	3	4
Lean oppose		3	2	2
Undecided	4	4	7	3
Support		80	80	78
Oppose	1/	16	13	19

25. Thinking more about additional mandatory fees, do you believe these fees are [ROTATE] _an important tool for businesses to cover labor and administrative costs OR _a deceptive tool to charge consumers more money than they expected for goods and services?

Important tool for covering business costs6	8	6	5
Deceptive tool to charge consumers more62	61	59	65
Both22	23	26	21
Neither3	1	1	4
Not sure6	7	8	5

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

26. SSA: Below is a list of industries that charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services, sometimes referred to as "junk fees". Please indicate which TWO industries you associate most with charging additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services: [ALLOW UP TO 2 RESPONSES, RANDOMIZE]

Event ticketing services like Ticketmaster	37	41	32	35
Utilities services	28	24	33	29
Cell phone services	27	24	27	28
Cable services	22	26	23	18
Food and grocery delivery services	19	21	15	19
Hotels	17	14	37	11
Landlords and rental services	10	8	9	12
Restaurants	10	11	5	11
House-sharing services like Airbnb and VRBO	9	10	9	8
Rental cars and rideshare services	8	6	4	12
Other (SPECIFY:)	0	0	0	0
Not sure	6	6	2	8

27. SSB: Below is a list of industries that charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services, sometimes referred to as "junk fees". Please indicate which TWO industries make you most upset or frustrated with this practice: [ALLOW UP TO 2 RESPONSES, RANDOMIZE]

Utilities services	39	30	34	50
Cell phone services	26	23	28	29
Event ticketing services like Ticketmaster	25	37	18	17
Cable services	20	15	27	21
Food and grocery delivery services	18	20	15	19
Restaurants	18	15	18	20
Landlords and rental services	17	14	21	18
Hotels	15	17	12	14
Rental cars and rideshare services	7	12	3	4
House-sharing services like Airbnb and VRBO	6	9	4	5
Other (SPECIFY:)	0	0	1	0
Not sure	3	2	9	2

www.LakeResearch.com

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

28. In your own words, how would you define these additional fine-print mandatory fees? [OPEN END]

Deceptive/ lack transparency/ not explained50	50	45	51
Greedy/ CEOs/ Stakeholders13	12	18	12
General bad10	9	16	10
Expensive/ economic hardship/ price-gouging9	10	4	11
Unecessary8	10	8	5
Evil/ criminal/ theft/ illegal5	3	7	5
Normal business practices/ Just a charge2	2	2	2
Neutral2	2	0	2
Other2	2	2	1
Don't know/ Not sure5	4	4	5

29. **SSA:** Below is a list of alternative labels for additional fine-print mandatory fees. Please indicate which TWO you think fit best to describe additional fine-print mandatory fees: [ALLOW UP TO TWO RESPONSES, RANDOMIZE]

Junk fees	31	35	39	24
Price gouging	28	29	26	28
Processing fees	28	21	31	33
Fine print fees	21	22	16	23
Greed fees	19	21	27	15
Bogus fees	16	13	16	20
Fake fees	12	12	7	14
Corporate profiteering	11	13	15	9
Inflation fees	11	7	9	15
Inconvenience fees	8	10	6	7
Monopoly profiteering		9	7	1
Other (SPECIFY:)	1	1	1	0
Not sure	3	3	1	3

30. **SSB:** Below is a list of alternative labels for additional fine-print mandatory fees. Please indicate which TWO you think most negatively describe additional fine-print mandatory fees: **[ALLOW UP TO TWO RESPONSES, RANDOMIZE]**

Price gouging	28	36	23	24
Junk fees	26	23	38	25
Greed fees	23	29	18	19
Bogus fees	21	15	23	26
Inflation fees	20	15	32	20
Processing fees		23	12	18
Fake fees	17	12	23	18
Inconvenience fees	12	11	9	16
Fine print fees	12	12	10	12
Corporate profiteering	11	11	8	11
Monopoly profiteering	6	7	3	6
Other (SPECIFY:)	0	0	1	0
Not sure		1	0	2

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

SPLIT SAMPLE CE [DECEPTIVE/HURTS CONSUMERS]:

31. Now you will see two different statements about this issue. [ROTATE]

[ANTI-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say it's time to put an end to junk fees—an unfair and deceptive way to trick Americans into paying more for goods and services beyond the advertised price. From rental properties to cable, internet bills, and utilities to airline and concert tickets, these fees are tacked onto transactions, hidden in fine print, without proper explanations for their purpose. States like Tennessee and California have already made certain junk fees illegal because they are price gouging and cost money that most working people don't have. Stopping junk fees is the right move for more transparent and fair goods and services. (102 words)

[PRO-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say that legislation to ban these processing fees is wrong because they are a necessary part of doing business in today's world and making them illegal will only hurt our businesses and economy. These fees have become an important way for local businesses and corporations in our state to stay profitable and stay open during and after COVID. If the state legislature outlaws these fees, our state's businesses will be at a massive disadvantage to neighboring state's businesses that allow junk fees. This legislation would just be another example of the government overstepping its boundaries and picking winners and losers. (102 words)

Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their mind. Would you **[ROTATE]** _support **OR** _oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?

- 32. SSCE [IF Q31 = 1,2] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?
- 33. SSCE: [IF Q31 = 3] If you had to decided today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly7	'2	74	76	69
Support - not so strongly		6	1	12
Lean support	5	2	11	4
Oppose - strongly	4	5	7	3
Oppose - not so strongly	2	0	0	4
Lean oppose	2	1	0	5
Undecided	7	12	5	3
Support	35	83	88	85
Oppose	9	5	7	12

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

SPLIT SAMPLE CF [DECEPTIVE/HURTS CONSUMERS]:

34. Now you will see two different statements about this issue. [ROTATE]

[ANTI-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say it's time to put an end to junk fees—an unfair and deceptive way to trick Americans into paying more for goods and services beyond the advertised price. From rental properties to cable, internet bills, and utilities to airline and concert tickets, these fees are tacked onto transactions, hidden in fine print, without proper explanations for their purpose. States like Tennessee and California have already made certain junk fees illegal because they are price gouging and cost money that most working people don't have. Stopping junk fees is the right move for more transparent and fair goods and services. (102 words)

[PRO-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say that legislation to ban these processing fees is wrong because they are a necessary part of doing business in today's world and making them illegal will only hurt our businesses and economy. These fees have become an important way for local businesses and corporations in our state to stay profitable and stay open during and after COVID. If the state legislature outlaws these fees, our state's businesses will be at a massive disadvantage to neighboring state's businesses that allow junk fees. This legislation would just be another example of the government overstepping its boundaries and picking winners and losers. (102 words)

Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their mind. Would you [ROTATE] support OR oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional hidden mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?

35. SSCF: [IF Q34 = 1.2] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?

36. SSCF: [IF Q34 = 3] If you had to decided today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly71	77	72	65
Support - not so strongly12		7	15
Lean support3	3	0	3
Oppose - strongly7	5	11	7
Oppose - not so strongly2	0	0	5
Lean oppose1	0	0	2
Undecided5	4	9	3
Support86	91	80	84
Oppose	5	11	14

31/32/33/34/35/36. COMBINED PROFILE BALLOT - DECEPTIVE /HURTS CONSUMERS

Support - strongly	72	76	74	67
Support - not so strongly		8	4	13
Lean support	4	3	5	4
Oppose - strongly	6	5	9	5
Oppose - not so strongly	2	0	0	5
Lean oppose	2	1	0	3
Undecided	6	8	7	3
Support	85	87	83	84
Oppose		5	9	13

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

SPLIT SAMPLE DE [ANTI-COMPETITIVE]:

37. Now you will see two different statements about this issue. [ROTATE]

[ANTI-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say that legislation to ban junk fees should be passed because these fees are forcing small businesses to compete with national corporations by adopting their deceptive strategies. Mandatory fine-print fees hurt small businesses and families by raising prices for all of our communities across the state. Our long-term local businesses have been forced to add on these hidden fees just to compete with large corporations instead of staying competitive with superior service, quality, and pricing. Stopping junk fees helps to even the playing field for our local businesses and would help lower high prices and stress for our working families. (102 words)

[PRO-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say that legislation to ban these processing fees is wrong because they are a necessary part of doing business in today's world and making them illegal will only hurt our businesses and economy. These fees have become an important way for local businesses and corporations in our state to stay profitable and stay open during and after COVID. If the state legislature outlaws these fees, our state's businesses will be at a massive disadvantage to neighboring state's businesses that allow junk fees. This legislation would just be another example of the government overstepping its boundaries and picking winners and losers. (102 words)

Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their mind. Would you **[ROTATE]** _support **OR** _oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?

38. SSDE: [IF Q37 = 1,2] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?

39. SSDE: [IF Q37 = 3] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly	64	70	67	57
Support - not so strongly	9	6	4	15
Lean support	6	10	5	1
Oppose - strongly	10	3	9	18
Oppose - not so strongly	0	1	0	0
Lean oppose	4	5	0	5
Undecided	7	5	15	3
Support	79	86	76	73
Oppose	14	9	9	23

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

SPLIT SAMPLE DF [ANTI-COMPETITIVE]:

40. Now you will see two different statements about this issue. [ROTATE]

[ANTI-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say that legislation to ban junk fees should be passed because these fees are forcing small businesses to compete with national corporations by adopting their deceptive strategies. Mandatory fine-print fees hurt small businesses and families by raising prices for all of our communities across the state. Our long-term local businesses have been forced to add on these hidden fees just to compete with large corporations instead of staying competitive with superior service, quality, and pricing. Stopping junk fees helps to even the playing field for our local businesses and would help lower high prices and stress for our working families. (102 words)

[PRO-JUNK FEES] (Some/Other) people say that legislation to ban these processing fees is wrong because they are a necessary part of doing business in today's world and making them illegal will only hurt our businesses and economy. These fees have become an important way for local businesses and corporations in our state to stay profitable and stay open during and after COVID. If the state legislature outlaws these fees, our state's businesses will be at a massive disadvantage to neighboring state's businesses that allow junk fees. This legislation would just be another example of the government overstepping its boundaries and picking winners and losers. (102 words)

Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their mind. Would you **[ROTATE]** _support **OR** _oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional hidden mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?

41. **SSDF:** [**IF Q40 = 1,2**] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?

42. SSDF: [IF Q40 = 3] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly72	73	45	78
Support - not so strongly7		15	6
Lean support6	10	7	2
Oppose - strongly8	11	2	9
Oppose - not so strongly1	0	0	2
Lean oppose2	0	12	1
Undecided4	1	19	1
Support85	88	67	87
···	11	14	12

37/38/39/40/41/42. COMBINED PROFILE BALLOT - ANTI-COMPETITIVE

Support - strongly68	3 72	59	68
Support - not so strongly		8	10
Lean support6		5	2
Oppose - strongly		6	13
Oppose - not so strongly	1	0	1
Lean oppose		5	3
Undecided5	5 3	17	2
Support82	2 87	73	80
Oppose13		11	18

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

31/32/33/34/35/36/37/38/39/40/41/42. COMBINED PROFILE BALLOT

Support - strongly	70	74	67	68
Support - not so strongly		7	6	12
Lean support	5	6	5	3
Oppose - strongly	7	6	8	9
Oppose - not so strongly		0	0	3
Lean oppose	2	1	2	3
Undecided	5	5	12	2
Support	84	87	78	82
Oppose	11	8	10	15

43. Now you will read some statements people have made about passing state legislation making it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees. Please select whether each statement, assuming it is true, is a VERY convincing, SOMEWHAT convincing, NOT TOO convincing, or NOT AT ALL convincing reason to pass state legislation making it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees. As you read each statement, please use the green highlighter to highlight anything that stands out to you and makes you feel more POSITIVE about passing state legislation making it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees and use the red highlighter to highlight anything that stands out to you and makes you feel more NEGATIVE about passing state legislation making it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees. [RANDOMIZE LIST]

Sorted by "Very convincing"

43a.Unfair and deceptive	57	63	52	52
43b.Obstructs service and quality	46	47	42	48
43c.Anti-competitive	30	36	22	27

a. [UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE] Junk fees are an unfair and deceptive way to trick consumers into spending more than advertised for goods and services. Corporations that charge junk fees give consumers an initially falsely advertised price at the beginning of the transaction, have them go through the lengthy application process, and then tack on hundreds of dollars of additional fees with no clear explanation. This makes it close to impossible for consumers to have a fair assessment of the actual price they will pay or compare it to other services. (86 words)

Very convincing	57	63	52	52
Somewhat convincing		26	33	36
Not too convincing	5	4	3	8
Not at all convincing	3	3	3	2
Not sure	5	5	9	3
Convincing		89	85	87
Not convincing	8	7	6	10

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

b. [OBSTRUCTS SERVICE AND QUALITY] Junk fees disrupt the relationship between companies and their customers. By unfairly guaranteeing profits through shady charges, companies that charge junk fees can skimp on quality and make up their bottom line anyway. In restaurants, ridesharing, and house-sharing, these fees remove any impactful customer input in the final charges and replace them with fake service fees. Banning junk fees puts the power of rewarding good service back into the hands of the consumer. (79 words)

Very convincing	46	47	42	48
Somewhat convincing		40	42	38
Not too convincing	6	5	2	8
Not at all convincing	4	4	6	4
Not sure		4	8	3
Convincing	86	87	84	86
Not convincing	10	9	8	12

c. [ANTI-COMPETITIVE] In today's business landscape, junk fees are inescapable and any business that doesn't charge them is at a serious competitive disadvantage. Small businesses and shops are forced to add these fees to have a chance at competing with national corporations for profits. This is not sustainable and only produces a race to the bottom. Banning junk fees isn't forcing a certain price level on businesses but instead promotes competition by giving consumers an honest advertised price to use when shopping around for goods and services. (85 words)

Very convincing	30	36	22	27
Somewhat convincing	36	34	39	36
Not too convincing	17	12	16	22
Not at all convincing	12	12	13	10
Not sure	6	6	9	5
Convincing	65	70	62	63
Not convincing	29	24	29	32

44. Now you will read some other statements people have made about passing state legislation making it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees. Please select whether each statement, assuming it is true, is a VERY convincing, SOMEWHAT convincing, NOT TOO convincing, or NOT AT ALL convincing reason to pass state legislation making it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees. [RANDOMIZE LIST]

Sorted by "Very convincing"

44d.Ticketing55	58	51	54
44g.Utilties/cell/cable54	57	55	52
44a.Waste of time & money52	54	45	51
B44c.Price-gouging51	62	40	43
B44f.House-sharing & rental w transparency49	58	42	44
A44e.House-sharing & rental47	44	48	49
A44b.Inflation causing46	51	44	42

www.LakeResearch.com

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

a. **[WASTE OF TIME & MONEY]** Junk fees are a waste of time and money for people simply trying to enjoy an event, restaurant, or house-share—or pay for their utilities, cell, and cable services. With fees hidden at the end of transactions, it leads to a headache for consumers who are forced to pay far more than expected or waste time looking elsewhere for other providers that probably charge the same junk fees. Legislation banning junk fees will streamline the process so everyone has an easier and more honest way of doing business. (86 words)

Very convincing	52	54	45	51
Somewhat convincing		31	34	32
Not too convincing		9	9	12
Not at all convincing	3	2	5	3
Not sure	4	4	7	2
Convincing	83	85	79	83
Not convincing	13	11	14	15

b. **SSA:** [INFLATION CAUSING] While people can't keep pace with the rising prices of goods and services, corporations are raking in record-setting profits. Economic indicators like supply costs and gas prices are starting to point in the right direction for consumers, but many corporations are keeping prices high by charging junk fees. Because these fees are hidden and rarely explained well, there's very little pressure to lower them. This leads to corporations keeping inflation high through high hidden junk fees to continue raking in record-setting profits for CEO's and shareholders. (86 words)

Very convincing	46	51	44	42
Somewhat convincing	38	37	38	41
Not too convincing		5	4	9
Not at all convincing		3	4	5
Not sure		5	10	3
Convincing	85	87	83	83
Not convincing		8	7	14

c. **SSB: [PRICE GOUGING]** While people can't keep pace with the rising prices of goods and services, corporations are raking in record-setting profits by using junk fees to engage in price gouging. Because these fees are hidden and rarely explained well, they're a perfect way for large corporations to price gouge consumers to keep profits high with very little pushback. Legislation stopping junk fees would force corporations to adjust their prices more transparently, instead of arbitrarily raising them to keep CEO's and shareholders happy. (78 words)

Very convincing51	62	40	43
Somewhat convincing30	26	39	31
Not too convincing12	9	11	16
Not at all convincing5	2	6	8
Not sure2	1	4	2
Convincing81	88	79	74
Not convincing17	11	17	24

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

d. **[TICKETING]** Companies like Ticketmaster are well known for charging expensive junk fees on the end of their ticketing transactions for concerts and sporting events, often with unclear names like "convenience fee". This allows them to advertise a more affordable price online to beat their competitors and then push consumers to accept a higher price toward the end of a transaction or risk the hassle of going through the process again. Junk fees hurt consumers, venues, and performers and should be banned. (80 words)

Very convincing	55	58	51	54
Somewhat convincing		31	26	31
Not too convincing		4	10	9
Not at all convincing	4	3	5	4
Not sure	4	4	8	2
Convincing	85	89	77	85
Not convincing	11	7	15	13

e. **SSA:** [HOUSE-SHARING & RENTAL] Finding housing for vacation rentals and long-term shelter are both extremely expensive and junk fees are partly to blame. Companies like Airbnb use junk fees to lure consumers in with deceptively low prices and then stick them with "cleaning", "processing" and "administrative" fees that were never in the advertised price. Now, property management firms have begun charging junk fees which force struggling Americans to pay even more on top of already high monthly rent. Banning junk fees would help both renters and vacationers make more informed decisions and save money. (90 words)

Very convincing	47	44	48	49
Somewhat convincing	36	39	39	32
Not too convincing		10	3	11
Not at all convincing		2	1	6
Not sure	5	5	10	2
Out to the	00	00	00	0.4
Convincing	83	83	86	81
Not convincing	12	12	4	17

f. SSB: [HOUSE-SHARING & RENTAL W TRANSPARENCY] Finding housing for vacation rentals and long-term shelter are both expensive and lack transparency due to junk fees. Companies like Airbnb use junk fees to avoid transparency with deceptively low prices and then stick consumers with "cleaning", "processing" and "administrative" fees that were never in the advertised price. Now, property management firms have begun charging junk fees which force struggling Americans to pay even more on top of already high monthly rent. Banning junk fees would help both renters and vacationers access more transparent pricing information for their financial decisions. (90 words)

Very convincing49	58	42	44
Somewhat convincing32	25	35	38
Not too convincing14		11	16
Not at all convincing2	2 3	2	0
Not sure3	3 1	9	2
Convincing81	83	77	81
Not convincing16	16	14	17

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

g. [UTILTIES/CELL/CABLE] Living in America today, you need to have affordable housing, running water, electricity, cell phone service, internet, and other necessities. Junk fees have become prevalent in all of these industries and there's no way for consumers to get around them because many hold monopolies on their services. Their contracts are already confusing and adding junk fees only makes matters worse—and more expensive. Banning junk fees for basic necessities all people need will help struggling families make ends meet. (79 words)

Very convincing	54	57	55	52
Somewhat convincing		27	22	30
Not too convincing	10	9	11	12
Not at all convincing		3	4	4
Not sure	4	4	9	3
Convincing	82	84	77	82
Not convincing		12	14	15

45. Out of all the messages you just read, what stands out to you most as the best reason to pass state legislation making it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees? **[OPEN END]**

General bad/ Liked all messages28	31	26	27
Deceptive/ lack transparency/ not explained26	27	28	22
Expensive/ economic hardship/ price-gouging19	20	16	20
Greedy/ CEOs/ Stakeholders9	11	8	6
Vacation Rentals/ Airbnb/ Housing4	2	4	6
Utilities/ Cell/ Cable3	1	5	4
Evil/ Criminal/ Theft/ Illegal3	2	2	4
Ticketmaster/ Events3	2	4	2
Neutral2	1	3	2
Improves Competition/ Helps Small Businesses2	3	0	1
Unecessary1	0	2	0
Normal business practices/ Just a charge0	1	0	0
Other6	5	6	6
Not Sure/ Don't Know6	4	5	10

Washington, DC | Berkeley, CA | New York, NY

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

- 46. **SSE:** Sometimes over the course of a survey, people change their minds. Would you **[ROTATE]** _support **OR** _oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?
- 47. SSE: [IF Q46 = 1,2] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?
- 48. SSE: [IF Q46 = 3] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly	77	78	74	77
Support - not so strongly		6	14	11
Lean support		3	0	3
Oppose - strongly	6	6	5	6
Oppose - not so strongly		0	0	2
Lean oppose	2	2	0	1
Undecided	3	4	6	1
0	00	0.7	00	00
Support		87	88	90
Oppose	8	9	6	9

- 49. **SSF:** Sometimes over the course of a survey, people change their minds. Would you **[ROTATE]** _support **OR** _oppose state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional hidden mandatory fees for goods and services or are you undecided?
- 50. SSF: [IF Q49 = 1,2] Would you say you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?
- 51. SSF: [IF Q49 = 3] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean more toward?

Support - strongly	82	82	76	83
Support - not so strongly	9	8	10	10
Lean support	1	1	0	0
Oppose - strongly	3	5	1	4
Oppose - not so strongly	1	0	4	1
Lean oppose	0	0	0	1
Undecided	4	4	8	2
Support Oppose		91 5	87 5	93 6
- F L		•	•	•

46/47/48/49/50/51. COMBINED FINAL BALLOT

Support - strongly79Support - not so strongly9Lean support1Oppose - strongly5Oppose - not so strongly1Lean oppose1Undecided3	2 6 0	75 12 0 3 2 0 7	80 10 1 5 2 1
Support90	89	87	91
Oppose	7	6	7

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

- 52. Would you be [ROTATE] _more likely OR _less likely to vote FOR your State Senator or Representative if they voted FOR state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services, or wouldn't it make any difference?
- 53. [IF Q52 = 1] is that much more likely or somewhat more likely?
- 54. [IF Q52 = 2] is that much less likely or somewhat less likely?
- 55. [IF Q52 = 3,4] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean toward, more likely or less likely?

Much more likely	49	52	34	53
Somewhat more likely	26	27	29	23
Lean more likely	8	6	15	7
Much less likely	2	1	3	3
Somewhat less likely	2	2	2	2
Lean less likely	1	1	2	2
No difference	8	7	9	9
Not sure	4	5	7	2
More likely	83	85	77	82
Less likely	5	4	6	7

- 56. And, would you be [ROTATE] _more likely OR _less likely to turnout to vote in a local State Senate or State Representative election if you knew that they would soon be voting on state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services, or wouldn't it make any difference?
- 57. [IF Q56 = 1] is that much more likely or somewhat more likely?
- 58. [IF Q56 = 2] is that much less likely or somewhat less likely?
- 59. [IF Q56 = 3,4] If you had to decide today, which way would you lean toward, more likely or less likely?

Much more likely46	48	34	50
Somewhat more likely19	19	25	15
Lean more likely14	12	13	15
Much less likely1	2	0	1
Somewhat less likely2	1	3	2
Lean less likely0	0	0	0
No difference14	12	16	14
Not sure5	6	9	2
More likely78	79	72	80
Less likely		3	4

60. One last time, thinking more about additional mandatory fees, do you believe these fees are [ROTATE] an important tool for businesses to cover labor and administrative costs **OR** a deceptive tool to charge consumers more money than they expected for goods and services?

Important tool for covering business costs4	5	1	4
Deceptive tool to charge consumers more71	70	70	73
Both18	19	17	17
Neither3	1	6	4
Not sure4	4	6	1

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

61. Below is a list of individuals and groups who might choose to SUPPORT passing state legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services. For each, please indicate if their support would make you much more likely, somewhat more likely, much less likely or somewhat less likely to support passing legislation that would make it illegal to charge additional fine-print mandatory fees for goods and services. **[RANDOMIZE]**

Sorted by "Much more likely"

61i.Small businesses	41	35	37
61t.American Association of Retired Persons (AARP)32	42	19	29
B61q.Consumer Reports31	40	23	27
A61p.Consumers Union28	34	16	28
61m.Your governor27	33	16	26
61LYour members of Congress	31	21	24
61k.Your state legislature26	32	15	25
61o.Consumer Financial Protection Bureau	32	13	23
(CFPB)26	35	15	22
61f.Federal Trade Commission (FTC)25	33	12	23
• •	33 31	15	25 25
61j.Local Chamber of Commerce	_	16	25 24
61s.Alliance for Retired Americans (ARA)25	30	10	24
61g.National Federation of Independent	24	4.4	24
Business (NFIB)25	31	14	24
61n.Economists	32	16	21
61r.Labor Unions24	35	15	17
61b.Donald Trump21	5	15	40
61a.Joe Biden21	40	10	7
61c.Senator Bernie Sanders20	36	11	7
61h.Hospitality Trade Association	28	8	15
61e.Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio-			
Cortez18	33	11	5
61d.Senator Elizabeth Warren17	28	8	10
a. Joe Biden			
Much more likely21	40	10	7
Somewhat more likely18	29	12	10
Somewhat less likely4	5	2	5
Much less likely18	5	15	34
No difference30	17	44	37
Not sure8	5	17	7
More likely39	69	22	17
Less likely23	9	17	39

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			IND	
	Majaha d N	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
	Weighted N=	600	242	111	242
b.	Donald Trump				
	Much more likely		5	15	40
	Somewhat loss likely		9	13 4	24
	Somewhat less likely Much less likely		8 31	4 11	6 4
	No difference		36	43	22
	Not sure	9	11	13	4
	More likely	37	14	29	63
	Less likely		39	14	10
	Canadan Barria Canadana				
C.	Senator Bernie Sanders	00	20	44	7
	Much more likely Somewhat more likely		36 22	11 11	7 18
	Somewhat less likely		5	6	7
	Much less likely	11	3	13	17
	No difference		22	37	36
	Not sure	14	11	21	14
	More likely		58	23	25
	Less likely	17	9	19	25
d.	Senator Elizabeth Warren				
	Much more likely	17	28	8	10
	Somewhat more likely		30	9	13
	Somewhat less likely	5	4	6	7
	Much less likely		4	10	18
	No differenceNot sure		22 12	44 22	37 14
	Not dule	10	12	22	
	More likely		58	18	23
	Less likely	16	7	16	25
e.	Congresswoman Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez				
	Much more likely	18	33	11	5
	Somewhat more likely		25	8	12
	Somewhat less likely		4	2	10
	Much less likely		4	13	23
	No differenceNot sure		19 15	39 27	32 18
	More likely Less likely		59 8	19 16	17 33
	LOGO IINGIY	19	o	10	55

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			IND	
	Weighted N	TOTAL = 600	DEM 242	/DK 111	REP 242
f.	Federal Trade Commission (FTC)				
	Much more likely	27 8 7 22	33 27 6 2 19	12 20 8 10 31 18	23 30 9 11 19 8
	More likelyLess likely		60 8	32 18	53 20
g.	National Federation of Independent Busin	ess (NFIB)			
	Much more likely	24 5 6 21	31 21 4 4 19 21	14 23 6 7 27 24	24 27 7 7 21 14
	More likelyLess likely		52 8	37 12	51 13
h.	Hospitality Trade Association				
	Much more likely	28 6 6 23	28 29 7 4 20 13	8 23 3 8 31 27	15 30 6 8 22 19
	More likelyLess likely		57 10	31 10	45 14
i.	Small businesses				
	Much more likely	29 6 16	41 30 4 7 10 8	35 19 4 3 30 9	37 33 4 6 15 6
	More likelyLess likely		71 11	54 6	70 10

				IND	
		TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
	Weighted N=	600	242	111	242
	· ·				
j.	Local Chamber of Commerce				
	Much more likely	25	31	15	25
	Much more likely		_	_	
	Somewhat loss likely		35	28	29
	Somewhat less likely		4 3	4 7	9 7
	Much less likely No difference		3 16	28	-
	Not sure		12	20 19	20 9
	NOT Sure	12	12	19	9
	More likely	56	65	42	54
	Less likely		7	11	16
	Less likely	1 1	,	11	10
k.	Your state legislature				
	Much more likely	26	32	15	25
	Somewhat more likely		34	21	37
	Somewhat less likely		4	8	6
	Much less likely		5	6	9
	No difference		15	29	18
	Not sure		9	21	6
	More likely	59	66	37	62
	Less likely	12	10	14	15
ı.	Your members of Congress				
٠.	_				
	Much more likely		31	21	24
	Somewhat more likely		32	21	33
	Somewhat less likely		6	4	9
	Much less likely		4	8	8
	No difference	_	19	33	22
	Not sure	8	8	13	5
	Mana Black	- 7	0.4	40	- 7
	More likely		64	42	57
	Less likely	13	10	12	17
m.	Your governor				
	Much more likely	27	33	16	26
	Somewhat more likely	27	27	21	31
	Somewhat less likely		6	7	5
	Much less likely		8	7	12
	No difference		18	30	21
	Not sure		9	19	6
			•	. •	ŭ
	More likely	54	59	37	57
	Less likely		14	13	17

				IND	
		TO ⁻	TAL DEM	/DK	REP
	Weighted	d N= 60	00 242	111	242
n.	Economists				
	Much more likely	2	5 32	16	21
	Somewhat more likely			24	30
	Somewhat less likely			6	9
	Much less likely		5 3	6	6
	No difference	2		29	23
	Not sure	1	2 10	21	10
	More likely	5.	4 64	39	52
	Less likely			11	16
	2000 III.O.I			• • •	10
ο.	Consumer Financial Protection Bureau	ı (CFPB)			
	Much more likely	2	6 35	15	22
	Somewhat more likely			22	28
	Somewhat less likely			5	5
	Much less likely			4	5
	No difference			33	23
	Not sure	1	8 17	22	16
	More likely	5	2 61	36	51
	Less likely			9	10
	2000 111019		0	J	10
p.	SSA: Consumers Union				
	Much more likely	2	8 34	16	28
	Somewhat more likely			12	22
	Somewhat less likely			2	7
	Much less likely			5	8
	No difference			34	19
	Not sure	1	8 15	31	15
	More likely	5	1 64	28	50
	Less likely			8	16
	Lood intery		,	Ū	10
q.	SSB: Consumer Reports				
	Much more likely	3	1 40	23	27
	Somewhat more likely			30	29
	Somewhat less likely			7	9
	Much less likely			8	5
	No difference	2		19	26
	Not sure		9 10	13	5
	More likely	6	0 68	53	56
	Less likely			15	14

	•			
			IND	
	TOT	AL DEM	/DK	REP
	Weighted N= 60	0 242	111	242
	Wong.ited IV			
r.	Labor Unions			
	Much more likely	1 25	15	17
	Much more likely24		15	17
	Somewhat more likely27		18	28
	Somewhat less likely5	5 4	5	7
	Much less likely	3	11	13
	No difference24	17	34	27
	Not sure11		16	9
	Not sale	10	10	9
	Mana Black		0.4	4.5
	More likely51		34	45
	Less likely14	1 7	16	20
s.	Alliance for Retired Americans (ARA)			
	, ,		4.0	
	Much more likely25		16	24
	Somewhat more likely30	30	25	32
	Somewhat less likely5	5 5	3	6
	Much less likely		4	7
	No difference		28	20
	Not sure15	5 14	23	11
	More likely55	5 60	41	57
	Less likely		8	12
	Less likely	, 0	O	12
t.	American Association of Retired Persons (AARP))		
٠.	· ·	•		
	Much more likely32	2 42	19	29
	Somewhat more likely26	3 23	26	29
	Somewhat less likely		8	3
			3	9
	Much less likely			
	No difference		28	24
	Not sure11	12	16	7
	More likely58	3 66	45	57
	•			•
	Less likely	9 4	11	12

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
Weighted N=	600	242	111	242

Thank you. The few remaining questions are for statistical purposes only.

62. Which of the following best describes your total annual household income, before taxes?

Less than \$15,000	8	7	13	7
\$15,000 to \$24,999		9	7	6
\$25,000 to \$34,999	10	13	9	8
\$35,000 to \$49,999	15	12	15	17
\$50,000 to \$74,999	25	24	27	24
\$75,000 to \$99,999	15	14	15	15
\$100,000 to \$124,999	6	6	5	7
\$125,000 to \$149,999	6	7	2	7
\$150,000 or more	6	7	3	6
I prefer not to answer	2	2	2	2

63. Do you have any children under the age of 18 living at home with you?

Yes	24	25	24	22
No	76	74	76	78
Not sure	0	0	0	0

That completes our survey. Thank you for your time!